

FORCES AND DFPT The All-Electron FLAPW Realization in FLEUR

Monday 9th September, 2019 | Picking flowers: Hands-on FLEUR | IAS 1 & PGI 1









GEOMETRY OPTIMIZATIONS

Finding the Minimal Total Energy

- Configurations of atoms in unit cell might be unstable
- Results in force relaxing atoms from current into natural position







GEOMETRY OPTIMIZATIONS

Finding the Minimal Total Energy

- Configurations of atoms in unit cell might be unstable
- Results in force relaxing atoms from current into natural position
- Force zero if total energy minimal with respect to atomic postions $F_{\alpha} = -rac{\mathrm{d}E_{\mathrm{tot}}^{\mathrm{KS}}}{\mathrm{d} au_{\alpha}}$



D. Wortmann, DFT in practice, 45th IFF Spring School (2014).

 \Rightarrow Minimal total energy: Stable geometry found!







GEOMETRY OPTIMIZATIONS

Finding the Minimal Total Energy

- Configurations of atoms in unit cell might be unstable
- Results in force relaxing atoms from current into natural position
- Force zero if total energy minimal with respect to atomic postions $F_{\alpha} = -\frac{\mathrm{d}E_{\mathrm{KS}}}{\mathrm{d}\tau_{\alpha}}$



D. Wortmann, DFT in practice, 45th IFF Spring School (2014).

 \Rightarrow Minimal total energy: Stable geometry found!

Note:

- Energy landscape might contain several minima / stable geometries
- Calculation of forces combinable with optimization algorithms







Theory (Hellmann–Feynman Force)

- Force from negative gradient of Kohn–Sham total energy $F_{lpha}=-rac{\mathrm{d}E_{\mathrm{tot}}^{\mathrm{KS}}}{\mathrm{d} au_{lpha}}$
- The Hellmann–Feynman (HF) contribution incorporates 2 resulting terms

$$\begin{split} E_{\text{tot}}^{\text{KS}}[\rho(\boldsymbol{r})] &= \sum_{n} f_{n} \epsilon_{n}^{\text{KS}} - \int \mathrm{d}^{3} r \, \rho_{0}(\boldsymbol{r}) V_{\text{eff}}(\boldsymbol{r}) + \frac{1}{2} \iint \mathrm{d}^{3} r' \, \mathrm{d}^{3} r \, \frac{\rho_{0}(\boldsymbol{r}) \rho_{0}(\boldsymbol{r}')}{|\boldsymbol{r} - \boldsymbol{r}'|} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta}^{N_{\text{at}}} \frac{Z_{\alpha} Z_{\beta}}{|\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\alpha} - \boldsymbol{\tau}_{\beta}|} - \sum_{\alpha}^{N_{\text{at}}} \int \mathrm{d}^{3} r' \, \frac{Z_{\alpha} \rho_{0}(\boldsymbol{r}')}{|\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\alpha} - \boldsymbol{r}'|} + \int \mathrm{d}^{3} r' \, \rho_{0}(\boldsymbol{r}') \epsilon_{xc} \big[\rho_{0}(\boldsymbol{r}') \big] \end{split}$$







Theory (Hellmann–Feynman Force)

- Force from negative gradient of Kohn–Sham total energy $F_{lpha}=-rac{\mathrm{d}E_{\mathrm{tot}}^{\mathrm{KS}}}{\mathrm{d} au_{lpha}}$
- The Hellmann–Feynman (HF) contribution incorporates 2 resulting terms

$$\begin{split} E_{\text{tot}}^{\text{KS}}[\rho(\boldsymbol{r})] &= \sum_{n} f_{n} \epsilon_{n}^{\text{KS}} - \int \mathrm{d}^{3} r \, \rho_{0}(\boldsymbol{r}) V_{\text{eff}}(\boldsymbol{r}) + \frac{1}{2} \iint \mathrm{d}^{3} r' \, \mathrm{d}^{3} r \, \frac{\rho_{0}(\boldsymbol{r}) \rho_{0}(\boldsymbol{r}')}{|\boldsymbol{r} - \boldsymbol{r}'|} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta}^{N_{\text{at}}} \frac{Z_{\alpha} Z_{\beta}}{|\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\alpha} - \boldsymbol{\tau}_{\beta}|} - \sum_{\alpha}^{N_{\text{at}}} \int \mathrm{d}^{3} r' \, \frac{Z_{\alpha} \rho_{0}(\boldsymbol{r}')}{|\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\alpha} - \boldsymbol{r}'|} + \int \mathrm{d}^{3} r' \, \rho_{0}(\boldsymbol{r}') \epsilon_{xc}[\rho_{0}(\boldsymbol{r}')] \\ \boldsymbol{F}_{\alpha}^{\text{HF}} &= \left[-\nabla_{\!\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\alpha}} E_{\text{tot}}^{\text{KS}} \right]_{\text{HF}} = -\sum_{\beta \neq \alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\tau}_{\alpha}} \frac{Z_{\alpha} Z_{\beta}}{|\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\alpha} - \boldsymbol{\tau}_{\beta}|} + \int \mathrm{d}^{3} r' \, \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\tau}_{\alpha}} \frac{Z_{\alpha} \rho(\boldsymbol{r}')}{|\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\alpha} - \boldsymbol{r}'|} \end{split}$$







Theory (Hellmann–Feynman Force)

- Force from negative gradient of Kohn–Sham total energy $F_{\alpha} = -\frac{\mathrm{d}E_{\mathrm{tot}}^{\mathrm{Ko}}}{\mathrm{d}\tau_{\mathrm{s}}}$
- The Hellmann–Feynman (HF) contribution incorporates 2 resulting terms
- Applying Weinert method delivers HF force as implemented in FLEUR

$$\begin{split} E_{\text{tot}}^{\text{KS}}[\rho(\boldsymbol{r})] &= \sum_{n} f_{n} \epsilon_{n}^{\text{KS}} - \int \mathrm{d}^{3} \boldsymbol{r} \, \rho_{0}(\boldsymbol{r}) V_{\text{eff}}(\boldsymbol{r}) + \frac{1}{2} \iint \mathrm{d}^{3} \boldsymbol{r}' \, \mathrm{d}^{3} \boldsymbol{r} \, \frac{\rho_{0}(\boldsymbol{r}) \rho_{0}(\boldsymbol{r}')}{|\boldsymbol{r} - \boldsymbol{r}'|} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta}^{N_{\text{at}}} \frac{Z_{\alpha} Z_{\beta}}{|\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\alpha} - \boldsymbol{\tau}_{\beta}|} - \sum_{\alpha}^{N_{\text{at}}} \int \mathrm{d}^{3} \boldsymbol{r}' \, \frac{Z_{\alpha} \rho_{0}(\boldsymbol{r}')}{|\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\alpha} - \boldsymbol{r}'|} + \int \mathrm{d}^{3} \boldsymbol{r}' \, \rho_{0}(\boldsymbol{r}') \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{xc}[\rho_{0}(\boldsymbol{r}')] \\ \boldsymbol{F}_{\alpha}^{\text{HF}} = \left[-\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\alpha}} E_{\text{tot}}^{\text{KS}} \right]_{\text{HF}} = -\sum_{\beta \neq \alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\tau}_{\alpha}} \frac{Z_{\alpha} Z_{\beta}}{|\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\alpha} - \boldsymbol{\tau}_{\beta}|} + \int \mathrm{d}^{3} \boldsymbol{r}' \, \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\tau}_{\alpha}} \frac{Z_{\alpha} \rho(\boldsymbol{r}')}{|\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\alpha} - \boldsymbol{r}'|} \\ \boldsymbol{F}_{\alpha,\text{Yu}}^{\text{HF}} = Z_{\alpha} \sum_{m=-1}^{1} \frac{(-1)^{m}}{\sqrt{12\pi}} \underline{T} \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{m} \left(\frac{4\pi}{3} \int_{0}^{R_{\alpha}} \mathrm{d}_{\beta}_{\alpha} \, \rho_{1m}^{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{s}_{\alpha}) \left[1 - \left(\frac{s_{\alpha}}{R_{\alpha}} \right)^{3} \right] + \frac{V_{\text{C},1m}^{\alpha}(R_{\alpha})}{R_{\alpha}} \right) \end{split}$$

Hellmann, Einführung in die Quantenchemie, Deuticke, 1937. | Feynman, Phys. Rev., 1939, 56, 340-343. | Yu et al., Phys. Rev. B. 1991, 43, 6411-6422.





THE WEINERT METHOD

Example: Coulomb potential

Goal: Solve Poisson equation

 $\Delta V_{\rm Coul}({\pmb r}) \propto \rho({\pmb r})$







THE WEINERT METHOD

Example: Coulomb potential

- Goal: Solve Poisson equation $\Delta V_{\rm Coul}({\pmb r}) \propto \rho({\pmb r})$
- Multipole moments not unique

$$q_{lm}^{\alpha} = \int_{\mathsf{MT}_{\alpha}} \mathrm{d}^3 r \, \mathbf{Y}_{lm}^* \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{r} - \boldsymbol{\tau}_{\alpha}}{|\boldsymbol{r} - \boldsymbol{\tau}_{\alpha}|} \right) \left| \boldsymbol{r}^l - \boldsymbol{\tau}_{\alpha} \right|^l \boldsymbol{\rho}(\boldsymbol{r})$$

 Fourier transform appliable to smooth pseudo-charge density

$$\Rightarrow \qquad V^{\alpha}_{\rm IR,\ Coul}(\boldsymbol{r}) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{G} \neq \boldsymbol{0}} \frac{4\pi}{G^2} \rho_{\rm PS}(\boldsymbol{G}) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{G}\cdot\boldsymbol{r}}$$



M. Weinert, J. Math. Phys, 22, 2433 (1981).







THE WEINERT METHOD

Example: Coulomb potential

- Goal: Solve Poisson equation $\Delta V_{\rm Coul}({\pmb r}) \propto \rho({\pmb r})$
- Multipole moments not unique

$$q_{lm}^{\alpha} = \int_{\mathsf{MT}_{\alpha}} \mathrm{d}^3 r \, \mathbf{Y}_{lm}^* \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{r} - \boldsymbol{\tau}_{\alpha}}{|\boldsymbol{r} - \boldsymbol{\tau}_{\alpha}|} \right) \left| \boldsymbol{r}^l - \boldsymbol{\tau}_{\alpha} \right|^l \boldsymbol{\rho}(\boldsymbol{r})$$

 Fourier transform appliable to smooth pseudo-charge density

$$\Rightarrow \qquad V^{\alpha}_{\rm IR, \ Coul}(\boldsymbol{r}) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{G} \neq \boldsymbol{0}} \frac{4\pi}{\boldsymbol{G}^2} \rho_{\rm PS}(\boldsymbol{G}) {\rm e}^{{\rm i} \boldsymbol{G} \cdot \boldsymbol{r}}$$



Muffin-tin potential from boundary-value problem

$$V^{\alpha}_{\mathrm{MT,\ Coul}}(\boldsymbol{r}_{\alpha}+\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\alpha}) = \int_{\mathrm{MT}} \mathrm{d}^{3}\boldsymbol{r}_{\alpha}'\,\rho(\boldsymbol{r}_{\alpha}')G(\boldsymbol{r}_{\alpha},\boldsymbol{r}_{\alpha}') - \frac{1}{4\pi}\oint_{\partial\mathrm{MT}}\mathrm{d}S\,V^{\alpha}_{\mathrm{IR,\ Coul}}(\boldsymbol{r}_{\alpha}'+\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\alpha})\nabla_{\boldsymbol{r}_{\alpha}'}G(\boldsymbol{r}_{\alpha},\boldsymbol{r}_{\alpha}')$$

M. Weinert, J. Math. Phys, 22, 2433 (1981).







Theory: (Pulay Force)

- FLEUR uses the LAPW basis set dependent on *r* (see previous talk)
- ⇒ Gradient also acts on basis-functions!

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{F}_{\alpha}^{\text{Pulay}} &= \sum_{n} f_{n} \frac{\partial \epsilon_{n}^{\text{KS}}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\tau}} - \int \mathrm{d}^{3} \boldsymbol{r} \, \rho_{0}(\boldsymbol{r}) \frac{\partial V_{\text{eff}}(\boldsymbol{r})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\tau}} \\ &= \sum_{n \boldsymbol{k}} f_{n \boldsymbol{k}} \left\langle \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{n \boldsymbol{k}}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\tau}_{\alpha}} \middle| \mathcal{H} - \epsilon_{n \boldsymbol{k}} \middle| \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{n \boldsymbol{k}} \right\rangle + \text{c.c.} \end{split}$$







Theory: (Pulay Force)

• FLEUR uses the LAPW basis set dependent on *r* (see previous talk)

⇒ Gradient also acts on basis-functions!

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{F}_{\alpha}^{\mathsf{Pulay}} &= \sum_{n} f_{n} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{n}^{\mathsf{KS}}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\tau}} - \int \mathrm{d}^{3} \boldsymbol{r} \, \rho_{0}(\boldsymbol{r}) \frac{\partial V_{\mathsf{eff}}(\boldsymbol{r})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\tau}} \\ &= \sum_{n \boldsymbol{k}} f_{n \boldsymbol{k}} \left\langle \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{n \boldsymbol{k}}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\tau}_{\alpha}} \middle| \mathcal{H} - \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{n \boldsymbol{k}} \middle| \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{n \boldsymbol{k}} \right\rangle + \mathsf{c.c.} \end{split}$$







Theory: (Pulay Force)

• FLEUR uses the LAPW basis set dependent on *r* (see previous talk)

⇒ Gradient also acts on basis-functions!

$$\begin{split} F_{\alpha}^{\mathsf{Pulay}} &= \sum_{n} f_{n} \frac{\partial \epsilon_{n}^{\mathsf{KS}}}{\partial \tau} - \int \mathrm{d}^{3} r \, \rho_{0}(\boldsymbol{r}) \frac{\partial V_{\mathsf{eff}}(\boldsymbol{r})}{\partial \tau} \\ &= \sum_{n\boldsymbol{k}} f_{n\boldsymbol{k}} \left\langle \frac{\partial \Psi_{n\boldsymbol{k}}}{\partial \tau_{\alpha}} \middle| \mathcal{H} - \epsilon_{n\boldsymbol{k}} \middle| \Psi_{n\boldsymbol{k}} \right\rangle + \mathsf{c.c.} \end{split}$$

Background knowledge:

- Pulay terms compensate Kohn–Sham solutions to be variational
- Pulay terms involve LAPW-valence state and non-LAPW core states (later)
- General (LAPW-independent) formulation up to now!





Beyond plane-wave codes

■ LAPW basis → Basis correction term required

$$\frac{\partial \varPsi_{n\boldsymbol{k}}(\boldsymbol{r})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\tau}} = \sum_{\boldsymbol{G}} \frac{\partial z_{\boldsymbol{G}}(n\boldsymbol{k})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\tau}_{\alpha}} \phi_{\boldsymbol{k}\boldsymbol{G}}(\boldsymbol{r}) + z_{\boldsymbol{G}}(n\boldsymbol{k}) \frac{\partial \phi_{\boldsymbol{k}\boldsymbol{G}}(\boldsymbol{r})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\tau}} ; \quad \phi_{\boldsymbol{k}\boldsymbol{G}}^{\mathsf{MT}(\alpha)}(\boldsymbol{r}) = \sum_{lm\lambda} a_{lm\lambda}^{\alpha\boldsymbol{k}\boldsymbol{G}} u_{l\lambda}^{\alpha} \mathbf{Y}_{lm}(\hat{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\alpha})$$







- \blacksquare LAPW basis \rightarrow Basis correction term required
- Vanishes for interstitial region / plane-wave codes

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial \varPsi_{n\boldsymbol{k}}(\boldsymbol{r})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\tau}} &= \sum_{\boldsymbol{G}} \frac{\partial z_{\boldsymbol{G}}(n\boldsymbol{k})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\tau}_{\alpha}} \phi_{\boldsymbol{k}\boldsymbol{G}}(\boldsymbol{r}) + z_{\boldsymbol{G}}(n\boldsymbol{k}) \frac{\partial \phi_{\boldsymbol{k}\boldsymbol{G}}(\boldsymbol{r})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\tau}} \, ; \quad \phi_{\boldsymbol{k}\boldsymbol{G}}^{\mathsf{MT}(\alpha)}(\boldsymbol{r}) = \sum_{lm\lambda} a_{lm\lambda}^{\alpha\boldsymbol{k}\boldsymbol{G}} u_{l\lambda}^{\alpha} \mathbf{Y}_{lm}(\hat{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\alpha}) \\ & \frac{\partial \phi_{\boldsymbol{k}\boldsymbol{G}}(\boldsymbol{r})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\tau}} = \begin{cases} [\mathbf{i}(\boldsymbol{k} + \boldsymbol{G}) - \nabla] \phi_{\boldsymbol{k}\boldsymbol{G}}(\boldsymbol{r}) &, \boldsymbol{r} \in \mathsf{MT}(\alpha) \\ 0 &, \mathsf{else} \end{cases} \end{split}$$







- \blacksquare LAPW basis \rightarrow Basis correction term required
- Vanishes for interstitial region / plane-wave codes







- \blacksquare LAPW basis \rightarrow Basis correction term required
- Vanishes for interstitial region / plane-wave codes
- BUT: Basis correction term cannot fully be described by LAPW Hilbert space!







- \blacksquare LAPW basis \rightarrow Basis correction term required
- Vanishes for interstitial region / plane-wave codes
- BUT: Basis correction term cannot fully be described by LAPW Hilbert space!
- Frozen-augmentation approximation: $u^{lpha}_{l\lambda}(au) pprox u^{lpha}_{l\lambda}$







THE INP.XML FILE

Relevant Extract for Relaxation Algorithm

```
<calculationSetup>
   <geometryOptimization l_f="F" forcealpha="1.0" forcemix="BFGS"</pre>
      epsdisp=".00001" epsforce=".00001"/>
</calculationSetup>
<atomGroups>
  <atomGroup species="foo">
      <force calculate="T" relaxXYZ="TTT"/>
  </atomGroup>
  <!-- more atom groups here depending on geometry setup-->
</atomGroups>
<xi:include xmlns:xi="http://www.w3.org/2001/XInclude"</pre>
  href="relax.xml"> <xi:fallback/> </xi:include>
```







SURFACE TERMS

Compensating discontinuities



D. Wortmann, DFT in practice, 45th IFF Spring School (2014).

 LAPW basis features discontinuity between interstitial and muffin-tin







SURFACE TERMS

Compensating discontinuities



D. Wortmann, DFT in practice, 45th IFF Spring School (2014).

- LAPW basis features discontinuity between interstitial and muffin-tin
- \Rightarrow Correction term to ...
 - ...fix discontinuity of integration
 - ...correct discontinuous potential, density and xc-energy density terms
- \Rightarrow Alternative: Reduce discontinuity

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{F}_{\alpha}^{\mathsf{suff}} &= \oint_{\partial\mathsf{MT}(\alpha)} \mathrm{d}S\,\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}\left[\boldsymbol{\rho}^{\mathsf{MT}}\left(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\mathsf{xc}}^{\mathsf{MT}} + \boldsymbol{V}_{\mathsf{eff}}^{\mathsf{MT}} + \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathsf{xc}}^{\mathsf{MT}}\right)\right] - \left[\boldsymbol{\rho}^{\mathsf{IR}}\left(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\mathsf{xc}}^{\mathsf{IR}} + \boldsymbol{V}_{\mathsf{eff}}^{\mathsf{IR}} + \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathsf{xc}}^{\mathsf{IR}}\right)\right] \\ &+ \sum_{n\boldsymbol{k}} f_{n\boldsymbol{k}} \oint_{\partial\mathsf{MT}(\alpha)} \left[\boldsymbol{\Psi}_{n\boldsymbol{k}}^{\mathsf{MT}*}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}} - \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{n\boldsymbol{k}})\boldsymbol{\Psi}_{n\boldsymbol{k}}^{\mathsf{MT}} - \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{n\boldsymbol{k}}^{\mathsf{IR}*}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}} - \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{n\boldsymbol{k}})\boldsymbol{\Psi}_{n\boldsymbol{k}}^{\mathsf{IR}}\right] \end{split}$$

Klüppelberg et al., Phys. Rev. B, 2015, 91, 035105







Pulay Core Force

$$\boldsymbol{F}^{\mathrm{Pu.,core}}_{\alpha} = -\int_{\varOmega} V_{\mathrm{eff}}(\boldsymbol{r}) \nabla \rho^{\alpha}_{\mathrm{core}}(\boldsymbol{r}) \,\mathrm{d}^{3}r$$

- Dependence on au_{lpha} from local coordinate frame
- In practise, core states are not perfectly confined to muffin-tins.
- Core-tail interstitial solution with help from a gaussian pseudo-density which is smoother than the original spherical core density
- Area integrated over is whole unit cell \rightarrow Increase of user friendness, as result not dependent on mufin-tin radii







DRIFT FORCE

Violation of the acoustic sum rule

Acoustic sum rule: $F_{\rm D} = \sum_{\alpha} F_{\alpha} = 0$ The sum of the forces on all atoms adds up to zero.





- Usually non-vanishing drift force $F_{\rm D}$ in practise
- Contradicts Newton's 3rd law of motion!
- Sometimes out-convergable by using higher Imax-cutoff and LOs
- Shown correction terms let the drift force vanish while using a relatively small lmax cutoff
- Tradeoff between accuracy and performance in practise (Force calculation levels)





FORCE CORRECTION TERMS

Effect on Drift Force









PHONONS

Brief Reminder

- Dynamics in solid \leftarrow Coulomb interaction between electrons and nuclei
- Decoupled dynamics \leftarrow Mass difference of electrons and nuclei (Born–Oppenheimer)

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathsf{BO}}(\mathbf{\tau}) = -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \mathbf{r}_i^2} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i\neq j}\frac{1}{\left|\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j\right|} - \sum_{i,\kappa}\frac{Z_{\kappa}}{\left|\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{\tau}_{\kappa}\right|} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\kappa\neq\mu}\frac{Z_{\kappa}Z_{\mu}}{\left|\mathbf{\tau}_{\kappa} - \mathbf{\tau}_{\mu}\right|}$$

Wavefunctions and energies of the nuclei by solving

$$\left(-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{\kappa}\frac{1}{M_{\kappa}}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \boldsymbol{\tau}_{\kappa}^2}+E_{\mathrm{BO}}(\boldsymbol{\tau})\right)\boldsymbol{\varPsi}(\boldsymbol{\tau})=\boldsymbol{E}\boldsymbol{\varPsi}(\boldsymbol{\tau})$$







PHONONS

Brief Reminder

- Dynamics in solid \leftarrow Coulomb interaction between electrons and nuclei
- Decoupled dynamics \leftarrow Mass difference of electrons and nuclei (Born–Oppenheimer)

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathsf{BO}}(\boldsymbol{\tau}) = -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \boldsymbol{r}_i^2} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i\neq j}\frac{1}{\left|\boldsymbol{r}_i - \boldsymbol{r}_j\right|} - \sum_{i,\kappa}\frac{Z_{\kappa}}{\left|\boldsymbol{r}_i - \boldsymbol{\tau}_{\kappa}\right|} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\kappa\neq\mu}\frac{Z_{\kappa}Z_{\mu}}{\left|\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\kappa} - \boldsymbol{\tau}_{\mu}\right|}$$

Wavefunctions and energies of the nuclei by solving

$$\left(-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{\kappa}\frac{1}{M_{\kappa}}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \boldsymbol{\tau}_{\kappa}^2} + E_{\mathrm{BO}}(\boldsymbol{\tau})\right) \boldsymbol{\varPsi}(\boldsymbol{\tau}) = \boldsymbol{E}\boldsymbol{\varPsi}(\boldsymbol{\tau})$$

Individual infinitesimal ion position shift specified by respective phonon vector

$$oldsymbol{w}^{oldsymbol{R}}_lpha(oldsymbol{q}) = oldsymbol{Q}_lpha(oldsymbol{q}) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}oldsymbol{q}oldsymbol{R}} + oldsymbol{Q}^*_lpha(oldsymbol{q}) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}oldsymbol{q}oldsymbol{R}}$$









FINITE DISPLACEMENT METHOD

Phonons

- Born–Oppenheimer energy relates to total energy of ab-initio calculation
- Finite Displacement Method: Finding the Force-Constant Matrix (FCM)

$$E_{\rm BO}(\boldsymbol{u}) = E_{\rm BO}^{(0)} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\boldsymbol{R}\kappa\beta} \sum_{\boldsymbol{R}'\kappa'\beta'} \frac{\partial^2 E_{\rm BO}}{\partial \tau_{\boldsymbol{R}\kappa\beta} \, \partial \tau_{\boldsymbol{R}'\kappa'\beta'}} \, u_{\boldsymbol{R}\kappa\beta} \, u_{\boldsymbol{R}'\kappa'\beta'} + \dots$$







FINITE DISPLACEMENT METHOD

Phonons

- Born–Oppenheimer energy relates to total energy of ab-initio calculation
- Finite Displacement Method: Finding the Force-Constant Matrix (FCM)

$$E_{\rm BO}(\boldsymbol{u}) = E_{\rm BO}^{(0)} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\boldsymbol{R}\kappa\beta} \sum_{\boldsymbol{R}'\kappa'\beta'} \frac{\partial^2 E_{\rm BO}}{\partial \tau_{\boldsymbol{R}\kappa\beta} \, \partial \tau_{\boldsymbol{R}'\kappa'\beta'}} \, u_{\boldsymbol{R}\kappa\beta} \, u_{\boldsymbol{R}'\kappa'\beta'} + \dots$$

■ Fourier transform of FCM → Dynamical Matrix (Complete lattice dynamics!)

$$D_{\kappa\beta,\kappa'\beta'}(\boldsymbol{q}) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{R}'} \frac{\partial^2 E_{\text{BO}}}{\partial \tau_{\boldsymbol{0}\kappa\beta} \, \partial \tau_{\boldsymbol{R}'\kappa'\beta'}} \, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \boldsymbol{q} \boldsymbol{R}'}$$







FINITE DISPLACEMENT METHOD

Phonons

- Born–Oppenheimer energy relates to total energy of ab-initio calculation
- Finite Displacement Method: Finding the Force-Constant Matrix (FCM)

ww flanw de

$$E_{\mathsf{BO}}(\boldsymbol{u}) = E_{\mathsf{BO}}^{(0)} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\boldsymbol{R}\kappa\beta} \sum_{\boldsymbol{R}'\kappa'\beta'} \frac{\partial^2 E_{\mathsf{BO}}}{\partial \tau_{\boldsymbol{R}\kappa\beta} \partial \tau_{\boldsymbol{R}'\kappa'\beta'}} \, u_{\boldsymbol{R}\kappa\beta} \, u_{\boldsymbol{R}'\kappa'\beta'} + \dots$$

• Fourier transform of FCM \rightarrow Dynamical Matrix (Complete lattice dynamics!)

$$D_{\kappa\beta,\kappa'\beta'}(\boldsymbol{q}) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{R}'} \frac{\partial^2 E_{\mathsf{BO}}}{\partial \tau_{\mathbf{0}\kappa\beta} \, \partial \tau_{\boldsymbol{R}'\kappa'\beta'}} \, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{q}\boldsymbol{R}'}$$

- Low Programming Effort ⇔ Heavy Calculation Effort (Supercells required)
- Requires exact forces









Application to phonons

Dynamical Matrix from 2nd variation of DFT total energy

$$\begin{split} E_{\text{tot}}^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{q}) &= \int \! \mathrm{d}^3 r \, \rho^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{q}) V_{\text{ext}}^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{q}) + \int \! \mathrm{d}^3 r \, \rho^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{r}) V_{\text{ext}}^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{q}) + E_{\text{ii}}^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{q}) \\ &= \boldsymbol{Q}_{\beta}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{q}) \underline{D}_{\beta\alpha}(\boldsymbol{q}) \boldsymbol{Q}_{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{q}) + \text{c.c.} \end{split}$$







Application to phonons

Dynamical Matrix from 2nd variation of DFT total energy

$$\begin{split} E_{\text{tot}}^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{q}) &= \int \! \mathrm{d}^3 r \, \rho^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{q}) V_{\text{ext}}^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{q}) + \int \! \mathrm{d}^3 r \, \rho^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{r}) V_{\text{ext}}^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{q}) + E_{\text{ii}}^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{q}) \\ &= \boldsymbol{Q}_{\beta}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{q}) \underline{D}_{\beta\alpha}(\boldsymbol{q}) \boldsymbol{Q}_{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{q}) + \text{c.c.} \end{split}$$

DFPT for varied quantities

- \blacksquare Variational ansatz \rightarrow Intrinsic robustness
- $\hfill \ensuremath{\,\bullet\)}$ Exploits lattice periodicity \rightarrow One unit cell
- One unit cell ightarrow Equal complexity for any q
- Only standard DFT output (wavefunctions, energies) required
- Similarities to DFT algorithm

S. Y. Savrasov, Phys. Rev. B 54, 16470 (1996). | S. Baroni, Rev. Mod. Phys. 73, 515 (2001).







http://www.tf.uni-kiel.de/matwis/amat/mw2_ge/
index.html



RESPONSE FUNCTIONS

Accessable by DFPT

Response functions are connected to first- or higher-order derivatives of the ground-state total energy







RESPONSE FUNCTIONS

Accessable by DFPT

Response functions are connected to first- or higher-order derivatives of the ground-state total energy

- Suitable perturbations for DFPT
 - Phonons
 - mechanical deformations
 - electric fields
 - magnetic fields

- DFPT gives access to
 - Dielectric / piezoelectric properties
 - Phonons / elastic properties
 - Superconductivity
 - Thermodynamic quantities



General Justification

- Ground state density of effective Kohn–Sham system corresponds to ground state density of interacting system
- Valid for any external potential either unperturbed or perturbed:

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}^{(0)} + \left(V_{\text{ext}} - V_{\text{ext}}^{(0)} \right)$$

 Variations of quantities that are functionals of the density can be determined with the DFT method and the Kohn–Sham system by choosing the respective external potential

Perturbations of real systems can be examined by applying perturbation theory to the Kohn–Sham Hamiltonian





THE EXASCALI



RAYLEIGH-SCHRÖDINGER PERTURBATION THEORY

Relevant for DFPT

• Perturbation with strenght λ small enough so that

$$f(\lambda) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \lambda^i f^{(i)}(\lambda) , \quad f^{(i)}(\lambda) = \frac{\mathrm{d}^i f(\lambda)}{\mathrm{d}\lambda^i} \bigg|_{\lambda=0}$$







÷

RAYLEIGH-SCHRÖDINGER PERTURBATION THEORY Relevant for DEPT

• Perturbation with strenght λ small enough so that

$$f(\lambda) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \lambda^i f^{(i)}(\lambda) , \quad f^{(i)}(\lambda) = \frac{\mathrm{d}^i f(\lambda)}{\mathrm{d}\lambda^i} \bigg|_{\lambda=0}$$

A perturbed Hamiltonian fulfills the Schrödinger equation

$$\mathcal{H}(\lambda) = \mathcal{H}^{(0)} + \mathcal{V}_{\mathsf{ext}}(\lambda) \,, \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^k \Biggl(\sum_{i=0}^k \Bigl(\mathcal{H}^{(i)} - \epsilon^{(i)} \Bigr) \Bigl| \varPsi^{(k-i)} \Bigr\rangle \Biggr) = 0$$







÷

RAYLEIGH-SCHRÖDINGER PERTURBATION THEORY Relevant for DEPT

• Perturbation with strenght λ small enough so that

$$f(\lambda) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \lambda^i f^{(i)}(\lambda) , \quad f^{(i)}(\lambda) = \frac{\mathrm{d}^i f(\lambda)}{\mathrm{d}\lambda^i} \bigg|_{\lambda=0}$$

A perturbed Hamiltonian fulfills the Schrödinger equation

$$\mathcal{H}(\lambda) = \mathcal{H}^{(0)} + \mathcal{V}_{\mathsf{ext}}(\lambda) \,, \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^k \Biggl(\sum_{i=0}^k \Bigl(\mathcal{H}^{(i)} - \epsilon^{(i)} \Bigr) \Bigl| \varPsi^{(k-i)} \Bigr\rangle \Biggr) = 0$$

In 1st order, the Sternheimer equation turns out

$$\left(\mathcal{H}^{(0)} - \epsilon_i^{(0)}\right) \left| \boldsymbol{\Psi}_i^{(1)} \right\rangle = -\left(\mathcal{H}^{(1)} - \epsilon_i^{(1)}\right) \left| \boldsymbol{\Psi}_i^{(0)} \right\rangle \Rightarrow \left| \boldsymbol{\Psi}_i^{(1)} \right\rangle = \sum_{k \in \mathsf{I}^\perp} \left| \boldsymbol{\Psi}_k^{(0)} \right\rangle \frac{\left\langle \boldsymbol{\Psi}_k^{(0)} \right| \boldsymbol{H}^{(1)} \left| \boldsymbol{\Psi}_i^{(0)} \right\rangle}{\epsilon_i^{(0)} - \epsilon_k^{(0)}}$$

Hellmann–Feynman theorem consistent with 1st order energy

$$\epsilon^{(1)} = \frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial \lambda} = \int \mathrm{d}^3 r \, \Psi^{(0)*} \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial \lambda} \Psi^{(0)} = \left\langle \Psi^{(0)} \middle| \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial \lambda} \middle| \Psi^{(0)} \right\rangle = \left\langle \Psi^{(0)} \middle| \frac{\partial \mathcal{V}}{\partial \lambda} \middle| \Psi^{(0)} \right\rangle$$







 $I = \{0\}$

.

Linear response and 2n+1 theorem

• Let $V_{\text{ext}}^{\text{L}}(r)$ be a general external potential with $\text{L} = \{\lambda_i : i \in [1, p]\}$ minimizing

$$E_0^{\mathsf{L}} = F[\rho_0^{\mathsf{L}}] + \int \mathrm{d}^3 r \, \rho_0^{\mathsf{L}}(\boldsymbol{r}) V_{\mathsf{ext}}^{\mathsf{L}}(\boldsymbol{r}) \,,$$







Linear response and 2n+1 theorem

- Let $V_{\text{ext}}^{\text{L}}(\boldsymbol{r})$ be a general external potential with $\text{L} = \{\lambda_i : i \in [1, p]\}$ minimizing $E_0^{\text{L}} = F[\rho_0^{\text{L}}] + \int d^3r \, \rho_0^{\text{L}}(\boldsymbol{r}) V_{\text{ext}}^{\text{L}}(\boldsymbol{r}) ,$
- Direct dependency of the external potential and implicit dependency of the ground-state density on λ leads to

$$\frac{\partial \vec{E}_{0}^{\mathsf{L}}}{\partial \lambda_{j}} = \underbrace{\int \mathrm{d}^{3}r \, \frac{\delta E_{0}^{\mathsf{L}}}{\delta \rho_{0}^{\mathsf{L}}(\boldsymbol{r})} \frac{\partial \rho_{0}^{\mathsf{L}}(\boldsymbol{r})}{\partial \lambda_{j}}}_{=0} + \int \mathrm{d}^{3}r \, \rho_{0}^{\mathsf{L}}(\boldsymbol{r}) \frac{\partial V_{\mathsf{ext}}^{\mathsf{L}}}{\partial \lambda_{j}}}{\frac{\partial^{2} E_{0}^{\mathsf{L}}}{\partial \lambda_{i} \partial \lambda_{j}}} = \int \mathrm{d}^{3}r \, \rho_{0}^{\mathsf{L}}(\boldsymbol{r}) \frac{\partial^{2} V_{\mathsf{ext}}^{\mathsf{L}}(\boldsymbol{r})}{\partial \lambda_{i} \partial \lambda_{j}} + \int \mathrm{d}^{3}r \, \frac{\partial \rho_{0}^{\mathsf{L}}(\boldsymbol{r})}{\partial \lambda_{i}} \frac{\partial V_{\mathsf{ext}}^{\mathsf{L}}(\boldsymbol{r})}{\partial \lambda_{j}}$$

- $\Rightarrow~$ 2nd derivative of total energy \leftrightarrow Linear derivative of electronic density
- $\Rightarrow\,$ 1st derivative of total energy \leftrightarrow No derivative of electronic density







Linear response and 2n+1 theorem

- Let $V_{\text{ext}}^{\text{L}}(\boldsymbol{r})$ be a general external potential with $\text{L} = \{\lambda_i : i \in [1, p]\}$ minimizing $E_0^{\text{L}} = F[\rho_0^{\text{L}}] + \int d^3r \, \rho_0^{\text{L}}(\boldsymbol{r}) V_{\text{ext}}^{\text{L}}(\boldsymbol{r}) ,$
- Direct dependency of the external potential and implicit dependency of the ground-state density on λ leads to

$$\frac{\partial E_0^{\mathsf{L}}}{\partial \lambda_j} = \underbrace{\int \mathrm{d}^3 r \, \frac{\delta E_0^{\mathsf{L}}}{\delta \rho_0^{\mathsf{L}}(\boldsymbol{r})} \frac{\partial \rho_0^{\mathsf{L}}(\boldsymbol{r})}{\partial \lambda_j}}{=0} + \int \mathrm{d}^3 r \, \rho_0^{\mathsf{L}}(\boldsymbol{r}) \frac{\partial V_{\mathsf{ext}}^{\mathsf{L}}}{\partial \lambda_j}$$
$$- \underbrace{\frac{\partial^2 E_0^{\mathsf{L}}}{\partial \lambda_i \partial \lambda_j}}_{=0} = \int \mathrm{d}^3 r \, \rho_0^{\mathsf{L}}(\boldsymbol{r}) \frac{\partial^2 V_{\mathsf{ext}}^{\mathsf{L}}(\boldsymbol{r})}{\partial \lambda_i \partial \lambda_j} + \int \mathrm{d}^3 r \, \frac{\partial \rho_0^{\mathsf{L}}(\boldsymbol{r})}{\partial \lambda_i} \frac{\partial V_{\mathsf{ext}}^{\mathsf{L}}(\boldsymbol{r})}{\partial \lambda_j}$$

 $\Rightarrow~$ 2nd derivative of total energy \leftrightarrow Linear derivative of electronic density

 \Rightarrow 1st derivative of total energy \leftrightarrow No derivative of electronic density

In general: 2n + 1th deriv. of total energy $\leftrightarrow n$ th deriv. of electronic density







Variational character

- Sternheimer equation from minimizing $E_{\rm el}^{(2)}$ with respect to $\Psi^{(1)}$ and given $\Psi^{(1)} \perp \Psi^{(0)}$

$$\begin{split} E_{\rm el}^{(2)} \Big[\left\{ \Psi^{(0)} \right\}; \ \left\{ \Psi^{(1)} \right\} \Big] &= \sum_{i=1}^{\rm c} \left(\left\langle \Psi_i^{(0)} \middle| (\mathcal{T} + \mathcal{V}_{\rm ext})^{(2)} \middle| \Psi_i^{(0)} \right\rangle + \left\langle \Psi_i^{(1)} \middle| (\mathcal{H} - \epsilon_i)^{(0)} \middle| \Psi_i^{(1)} \right\rangle \\ &+ \left\langle \Psi_i^{(0)} \middle| (\mathcal{T} + \mathcal{V}_{\rm ext})^{(1)} \middle| \Psi_i^{(1)} \right\rangle + \left\langle \Psi_i^{(1)} \middle| (\mathcal{T} + \mathcal{V}_{\rm ext})^{(1)} \middle| \Psi_i^{(0)} \right\rangle \Big) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \int \mathrm{d}^3 r \, \mathrm{d}^3 r' \frac{\partial^2 E_{\rm Hxc} \Big[\rho^{(0)} \Big]}{\partial \rho^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}) \partial \rho^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}')} \rho^{(1)}(\mathbf{r}) \rho^{(1)}(\mathbf{r}') \\ &+ \int \mathrm{d}^3 r \, \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} \frac{\partial E_{\rm Hxc} \Big[\rho^{(0)} \Big]}{\partial \rho^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}')} \bigg|_{\lambda=0} \rho^{(1)}(\mathbf{r}') + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 E_{\rm Hxc} \Big[\rho^{(0)} \Big]}{\mathrm{d}\lambda^2} \bigg|_{\lambda=0} \end{split}$$

Slide 18129







Variational character

- Sternheimer equation from minimizing $E_{\rm el}^{(2)}$ with respect to $\Psi^{(1)}$ and given $\Psi^{(1)} \perp \Psi^{(0)}$

$$\begin{split} E_{\mathsf{el}}^{(2)} \Big[\Big\{ \Psi^{(0)} \Big\}; \ \Big\{ \Psi^{(1)} \Big\} \Big] &= \sum_{i=1}^{\mathsf{c}} \Big(\Big\langle \Psi_{i}^{(0)} \Big| (\mathcal{T} + \mathcal{V}_{\mathsf{ext}})^{(2)} \Big| \Psi_{i}^{(0)} \Big\rangle + \Big\langle \Psi_{i}^{(1)} \Big| (\mathcal{H} - \epsilon_{i})^{(0)} \Big| \Psi_{i}^{(1)} \Big\rangle \\ &+ \Big\langle \Psi_{i}^{(0)} \Big| (\mathcal{T} + \mathcal{V}_{\mathsf{ext}})^{(1)} \Big| \Psi_{i}^{(1)} \Big\rangle + \Big\langle \Psi_{i}^{(1)} \Big| (\mathcal{T} + \mathcal{V}_{\mathsf{ext}})^{(1)} \Big| \Psi_{i}^{(0)} \Big\rangle \Big) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \int \mathrm{d}^{3} r \, \mathrm{d}^{3} r' \, \frac{\partial^{2} E_{\mathsf{Hxc}} \Big[\rho^{(0)} \Big]}{\partial \rho^{(0)} (\mathbf{r}) \partial \rho^{(0)} (\mathbf{r}')} \rho^{(1)} (\mathbf{r}) \rho^{(1)} (\mathbf{r}') \\ &+ \int \mathrm{d}^{3} r \, \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} \frac{\partial E_{\mathsf{Hxc}} \Big[\rho^{(0)} \Big]}{\partial \rho^{(0)} (\mathbf{r}')} \Big|_{\lambda=0} \rho^{(1)} (\mathbf{r}') + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} E_{\mathsf{Hxc}} \Big[\rho^{(0)} \Big]}{\mathrm{d}\lambda^{2}} \Big|_{\lambda=0} \end{split}$$

- \Rightarrow 1st-order variation of the wavefunction is variational!
- \Rightarrow Variational solutions only on average equal to pointwise solutions of real system
- \Rightarrow Small errors are damped in DFPT due to variational formulation







ALL-ELECTRON FLAPW & DFPT

Challenges

- Core-electron wave functions: Exact solutions of radial Schrödinger(Dirac) equation
- Full external 1 / r potential without approximations
- Valence-electron wave functions: Variational (Kohn–Sham), LAPW basis set

$$\varphi_{\boldsymbol{k},\boldsymbol{G}}^{(0)\boldsymbol{w}}(\boldsymbol{r}) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N\Omega}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(\boldsymbol{k}+\boldsymbol{G})\boldsymbol{r}}, & \boldsymbol{r} \in \mathsf{IR} \\ \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{lmp} a_{lmp\boldsymbol{w}}^{\alpha \boldsymbol{R} \boldsymbol{k} \boldsymbol{G}} u_{lp}^{\alpha \boldsymbol{R}} \left(|\boldsymbol{r} - \boldsymbol{r}_{\alpha \boldsymbol{R} \boldsymbol{w}}| \right) \, \mathbf{Y}_{lm} \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{r} - \boldsymbol{r}_{\alpha \boldsymbol{R} \boldsymbol{w}}}{|\boldsymbol{r} - \boldsymbol{r}_{\alpha \boldsymbol{R} \boldsymbol{w}}|} \right), & \boldsymbol{r} \in \mathsf{MT}(\alpha, \boldsymbol{R}) \end{cases}$$









ALL-ELECTRON FLAPW & DFPT

Challenges

- Core-electron wave functions: Exact solutions of radial Schrödinger(Dirac) equation
- Full external 1 / r potential without approximations
- Valence-electron wave functions: Variational (Kohn–Sham), LAPW basis set

$$\varphi_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{G}}^{(0)\mathbf{w}}(\mathbf{r}) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N\Omega}} e^{\mathbf{i}(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G})\mathbf{r}}, & \mathbf{r} \in \mathsf{IR} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{lmp} a_{lmp\mathbf{w}}^{\alpha\mathbf{R}\mathbf{k}\mathbf{G}} u_{lp}^{\alpha\mathbf{R}} \left(|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_{\alpha\mathbf{R}\mathbf{w}}|\right) \, \mathbf{Y}_{lm} \left(\frac{\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_{\alpha\mathbf{R}\mathbf{w}}}{|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_{\alpha\mathbf{R}\mathbf{w}}|}\right), & \mathbf{r} \in \mathsf{MT}(\alpha, \mathbf{R}) \end{cases}$$



Variation of valence-electron wavefunctions not fully covered by LAPW Hilbert space

$$\Psi_{n\boldsymbol{k}}^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{r}) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}=\pm \boldsymbol{q}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{G}} \left(z_{\boldsymbol{G}}^{(1)}(n\boldsymbol{k};\boldsymbol{\kappa},\alpha) \varphi_{\boldsymbol{k}+\boldsymbol{\kappa},\boldsymbol{G}}^{(0)\boldsymbol{0}}(\boldsymbol{r}) + z_{\boldsymbol{G}}^{(0)}(n\boldsymbol{k};\boldsymbol{\kappa}) \varphi_{\boldsymbol{k}+\boldsymbol{\kappa},\boldsymbol{G}}^{(1)\boldsymbol{w}}(\boldsymbol{r}) \right), \ \varphi_{\boldsymbol{k}'\boldsymbol{G}}^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{r}) = \boldsymbol{w}_{\alpha}^{\top} \cdot [\mathbf{i}(\boldsymbol{k}'+\boldsymbol{G}) - \boldsymbol{\nabla}] \varphi_{\boldsymbol{k}'\boldsymbol{G}}^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{r}) \quad [\boldsymbol{r} \in \mathsf{MT}(\alpha,\boldsymbol{R})]$$

P. Pulay, Mol. Phys., **17**, 197 (1969). D. A. Klüppelberg, Key Technologies (Schriften des Forschungszentrums Jülich), **119**, PhD Thesis (2015).







ALL-ELECTRON FLAPW & DFPT

Challenges

- Core-electron wave functions: Exact solutions of radial Schrödinger(Dirac) equation
- Full external 1 / r potential without approximations
- Valence-electron wave functions: Variational (Kohn–Sham), LAPW basis set

$$\varphi_{\boldsymbol{k},\boldsymbol{G}}^{(0)\boldsymbol{w}}(\boldsymbol{r}) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N\Omega}} e^{\mathrm{i}(\boldsymbol{k}+\boldsymbol{G})\boldsymbol{r}}, & \boldsymbol{r} \in \mathsf{IR} \\ \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{lmp} a_{lmp\boldsymbol{w}}^{\alpha\boldsymbol{R}\boldsymbol{k}\boldsymbol{G}} u_{lp}^{\alpha\boldsymbol{R}} \left(\left|\boldsymbol{r} - \boldsymbol{r}_{\alpha\boldsymbol{R}\boldsymbol{w}}\right| \right) \, \mathbf{Y}_{lm} \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{r} - \boldsymbol{r}_{\alpha\boldsymbol{R}\boldsymbol{w}}}{\left|\boldsymbol{r} - \boldsymbol{r}_{\alpha\boldsymbol{R}\boldsymbol{w}}\right|} \right), & \boldsymbol{r} \in \mathsf{MT}(\alpha, \boldsymbol{R}) \end{cases}$$



Variation of valence-electron wavefunctions not fully covered by LAPW Hilbert space

$$\Psi_{n\boldsymbol{k}}^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{r}) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}=\pm \boldsymbol{q}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{G}} \left(z_{\boldsymbol{G}}^{(1)}(n\boldsymbol{k};\boldsymbol{\kappa},\alpha) \varphi_{\boldsymbol{k}+\boldsymbol{\kappa},\boldsymbol{G}}^{(0)\boldsymbol{0}}(\boldsymbol{r}) + z_{\boldsymbol{G}}^{(0)}(n\boldsymbol{k};\boldsymbol{\kappa}) \varphi_{\boldsymbol{k}+\boldsymbol{\kappa},\boldsymbol{G}}^{(1)\boldsymbol{w}}(\boldsymbol{r}) \right), \ \varphi_{\boldsymbol{k}'\boldsymbol{G}}^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{r}) = \boldsymbol{w}_{\alpha}^{\top} \cdot [\mathbf{i}(\boldsymbol{k}'+\boldsymbol{G}) - \boldsymbol{\nabla}] \varphi_{\boldsymbol{k}'\boldsymbol{G}}^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{r}) \quad [\boldsymbol{r} \in \mathsf{MT}(\alpha,\boldsymbol{R})]$$

- \Rightarrow Emergence of additional terms complicating DFPT formalism
 - Pulay terms account for discrepancy between exact and variational wavefunctions
 - Surface terms correct discontinuities between interstitial and muffin-tin regions

P. Pulay, Mol. Phys., **17**, 197 (1969). D. A. Klüppelberg, Key Technologies (Schriften des Forschungszentrums Jülich), **119**, PhD Thesis (2015).







All-electron FLAPW & DFPT

Let's focus on

$$E_{\rm tot}^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{q}) = \int \! {\rm d}^3 r \, \rho^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{q}) V_{\rm ext}^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{q}) + \int \! {\rm d}^3 r \, \rho^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{r}) V_{\rm ext}^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{q}) + E_{\rm ii}^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{q})$$







All-electron FLAPW & DFPT

Let's focus on

$$E_{\rm tot}^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{q}) = \int\!\!{\rm d}^3r\,\rho^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{q})V_{\rm ext}^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{q}) + \int\!\!{\rm d}^3r\,\rho^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{r})V_{\rm ext}^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{q}) + E_{\rm ii}^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{q})$$

Variation of the Schrödinger equation results in Sternheimer equation

$$\begin{split} \sum_{m} & \left\langle \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\boldsymbol{k}\pm\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{p}}^{(0)} \Big| \mathcal{H}_{0} - \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{n,\boldsymbol{k}}^{(0)} \Big| \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\boldsymbol{k}\pm\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{m}}^{(0)} \right\rangle_{V} \boldsymbol{z}_{m}^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{n}\boldsymbol{k};\boldsymbol{\alpha}\pm\boldsymbol{q}) = -\sum_{G} & \left\langle \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\boldsymbol{k}\pm\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{p}}^{(0)} \Big| \boldsymbol{V}_{\text{eff}}^{(1)\boldsymbol{\alpha}\pm} \Big| \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\boldsymbol{k},\boldsymbol{n}}^{(0)} \right\rangle_{V} \\ & - & \left\langle \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\boldsymbol{k}\pm\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{p}}^{(1)\boldsymbol{\alpha}\mp} \Big| \mathcal{H}_{0} - \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{n,\boldsymbol{k}}^{(0)} \Big| \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\boldsymbol{k},\boldsymbol{n}}^{(0)} \right\rangle_{V} - & \left\langle \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\boldsymbol{k}\pm\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{p}}^{(0)} \Big| \mathcal{H}_{0} - \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{n,\boldsymbol{k}}^{(0)} \Big| \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\boldsymbol{k},\boldsymbol{n}}^{(1)\boldsymbol{\alpha}\pm} \right\rangle_{V} \\ & - & \sum_{\boldsymbol{R}} e^{\pm \mathbf{i}\boldsymbol{q}\boldsymbol{R}} \oint_{\partial \mathsf{MT}(\boldsymbol{\alpha},\boldsymbol{R})} \hat{\boldsymbol{e}} \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\boldsymbol{k}\pm\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{p}}^{(0)*} \Big[\mathcal{H}_{0} - \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{n,\boldsymbol{k}}^{(0)} \Big]_{\mathsf{SF}} \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\boldsymbol{k},\boldsymbol{n}}^{(0)} \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{S} \; . \end{split}$$

 \Rightarrow LAPW basis entails additional Pulay and surface terms







All-electron FLAPW & DFPT

Let's focus on

$$E_{\rm tot}^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{q}) = \int \! {\rm d}^3 r \, \rho^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{q}) V_{\rm ext}^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{q}) + \int \! {\rm d}^3 r \, \rho^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{r}) V_{\rm ext}^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{q}) + E_{\rm ii}^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{q})$$

Variation of the Schrödinger equation results in Sternheimer equation

$$\begin{split} \sum_{m} & \left\langle \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\boldsymbol{k} \pm \boldsymbol{q}, p}^{(0)} \middle| \boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}_{0} - \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{n, \boldsymbol{k}}^{(0)} \middle| \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\boldsymbol{k} \pm \boldsymbol{q}, m}^{(0)} \right\rangle_{V} \boldsymbol{z}_{m}^{(1)}(n \boldsymbol{k}; \boldsymbol{\alpha} \pm \boldsymbol{q}) = -\sum_{G} & \left\langle \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\boldsymbol{k} \pm \boldsymbol{q}, p}^{(0)} \middle| \boldsymbol{V}_{\text{eff}}^{(1)\alpha \pm} \middle| \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\boldsymbol{k}, n}^{(0)} \right\rangle_{V} \\ & - \left\langle \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\boldsymbol{k} \pm \boldsymbol{q}, p}^{(1)\alpha \mp} \middle| \boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}_{0} - \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{n, \boldsymbol{k}}^{(0)} \middle| \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\boldsymbol{k}, n}^{(0)} \right\rangle_{V} - \left\langle \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\boldsymbol{k} \pm \boldsymbol{q}, p}^{(0)} \middle| \boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}_{0} - \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{n, \boldsymbol{k}}^{(0)} \middle| \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\boldsymbol{k}, n}^{(1)\alpha \pm} \right\rangle_{V} \\ & - \sum_{R} e^{\pm i \boldsymbol{q} R} \oint_{\partial \mathsf{MT}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, R)} \hat{e}^{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}_{\boldsymbol{k} \pm \boldsymbol{q}, p} \Big[\boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}_{0} - \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{n, \boldsymbol{k}}^{(0)} \Big]_{\mathsf{SF}} \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\boldsymbol{k}, n}^{(0)} \, \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{S} \; . \end{split}$$

 \Rightarrow LAPW basis entails additional Pulay and surface terms







All-electron FLAPW & DFPT

Let's focus on

$$E_{\rm tot}^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{q}) = \int \! {\rm d}^3 r \, \rho^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{q}) V_{\rm ext}^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{q}) + \int \! {\rm d}^3 r \, \rho^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{r}) V_{\rm ext}^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{q}) + E_{\rm ii}^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{q})$$

Variation of the Schrödinger equation results in Sternheimer equation

$$\begin{split} \sum_{m} & \left\langle \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\boldsymbol{k} \pm \boldsymbol{q}, p}^{(0)} \middle| \mathcal{H}_{0} - \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{n, \boldsymbol{k}}^{(0)} \middle| \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\boldsymbol{k} \pm \boldsymbol{q}, m}^{(0)} \right\rangle_{V} \boldsymbol{z}_{m}^{(1)}(n \boldsymbol{k}; \boldsymbol{\alpha} \pm \boldsymbol{q}) = -\sum_{G} & \left\langle \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\boldsymbol{k} \pm \boldsymbol{q}, p}^{(0)} \middle| \boldsymbol{V}_{\text{eff}}^{(1) \alpha \pm} \middle| \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\boldsymbol{k}, n}^{(0)} \right\rangle_{V} \\ & - \left\langle \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\boldsymbol{k} \pm \boldsymbol{q}, p}^{(1) \alpha \mp} \middle| \mathcal{H}_{0} - \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{n, \boldsymbol{k}}^{(0)} \middle| \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\boldsymbol{k}, n}^{(0)} \right\rangle_{V} - \left\langle \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\boldsymbol{k} \pm \boldsymbol{q}, p}^{(0)} \middle| \mathcal{H}_{0} - \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{n, \boldsymbol{k}}^{(0)} \middle| \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\boldsymbol{k}, n}^{(1) \alpha \pm} \right\rangle_{V} \\ & - \sum_{\boldsymbol{R}} e^{\pm \mathbf{i} \boldsymbol{q} \boldsymbol{R}} \oint_{\partial \mathsf{MT}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{R})} \hat{\boldsymbol{e}} \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\boldsymbol{k} \pm \boldsymbol{q}, p}^{(0)*} \Big[\mathcal{H}_{0} - \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{n, \boldsymbol{k}}^{(0)} \Big]_{\mathsf{SF}} \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\boldsymbol{k}, n}^{(0)} \, \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{S} \; . \end{split}$$

 \Rightarrow LAPW basis entails additional Pulay and surface terms

• Self-consistent solution of Sternheimer equation \rightarrow 1st-order electron density

$$\rho^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{q}) = \sum_{\alpha n \boldsymbol{k}} 2f_{n\boldsymbol{k}}^{(0)} \Big(\boldsymbol{\varPsi}_{n\boldsymbol{k}}^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{r})\Big)^* \Big(\boldsymbol{Q}_{\alpha}^{\top}(\boldsymbol{q}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\varPsi}_{n\boldsymbol{k}}^{(1)\alpha}(\boldsymbol{r},+\boldsymbol{q}) + \boldsymbol{Q}_{\alpha}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{q}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\varPsi}_{n\boldsymbol{k}}^{(1)\alpha}(\boldsymbol{r},-\boldsymbol{q})\Big)$$







STERNHEIMER SELF-CONSISTENCY CYCLE

Dynamic handling of electron screening









Silicon Carbide

$$\rho^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{q}) = \sum_{\alpha n \boldsymbol{k}} 2 f_{n \boldsymbol{k}}^{(0)} \Big(\boldsymbol{\varPsi}_{n \boldsymbol{k}}^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{r}) \Big)^* \Big(\boldsymbol{Q}_{\alpha}^{\top}(\boldsymbol{q}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\varPsi}_{n \boldsymbol{k}}^{(1)\alpha}(\boldsymbol{r},+\boldsymbol{q}) + \boldsymbol{Q}_{\alpha}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{q}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\varPsi}_{n \boldsymbol{k}}^{(1)\alpha}(\boldsymbol{r},-\boldsymbol{q}) \Big)$$



Displaced atom α : Silicon



Displaced atom α : Carbon



https://upload.wikimedia.org/wikipedia/commons/4/45/Zincblende_structure.png, (visited 24th March 2019)







2nd-order external potential

Goal: Solve Poisson equation

 $\Delta \big(\boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{\nabla}^\top V_{\mathsf{ext}} \big) \propto \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{\nabla}^\top \boldsymbol{\rho}$







2nd-order external potential

- Goal: Solve Poisson equation $\Delta(\boldsymbol{\nabla}\boldsymbol{\nabla}^\top V_{\text{ext}}) \propto \boldsymbol{\nabla}\boldsymbol{\nabla}^\top \rho$
- Analogous multipole moments

$$\underline{q_{lm}^{\alpha}} = \int_{\mathsf{MT}_{\alpha}} \mathrm{d}^3 r \, \mathbf{Y}^*_{\mathrm{lm}}(\hat{\boldsymbol{r}}) \big| \boldsymbol{r}^l - \boldsymbol{\tau}_{\alpha} \big|^l \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{\nabla}^\top \boldsymbol{\rho}(\boldsymbol{r})$$

⇒ Correct interstitial potential from pseudo-charge density

$$\underline{V^{\alpha(2)}_{\rm IR}}({\pmb r}) = \sum_{{\pmb G} \neq {\pmb 0}} \frac{4\pi}{G^2} \underline{\rho_{\rm ps}}({\pmb G}) {\rm e}^{{\rm i}{\pmb G}\cdot{\pmb r}}$$









2nd-order external potential

- Goal: Solve Poisson equation $\Delta(\boldsymbol{\nabla}\boldsymbol{\nabla}^\top V_{\text{ext}}) \propto \boldsymbol{\nabla}\boldsymbol{\nabla}^\top \rho$
- Analogous multipole moments

$$\underline{q_{lm}^{\alpha}} = \int_{\mathsf{MT}_{\alpha}} \mathrm{d}^3 r \, \mathbf{Y}^*_{\mathrm{lm}}(\hat{\boldsymbol{r}}) \big| \boldsymbol{r}^l - \boldsymbol{\tau}_{\alpha} \big|^l \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{\nabla}^\top \boldsymbol{\rho}(\boldsymbol{r})$$

⇒ Correct interstitial potential from pseudo-charge density

$$\underline{V^{\alpha(2)}_{\rm IR}}(\pmb{r}) = \sum_{\pmb{G}\neq \pmb{0}} \frac{4\pi}{G^2} \underline{\rho_{\rm ps}}(\pmb{G}) {\rm e}^{{\rm i}\pmb{G}\cdot\pmb{r}}$$



• Muffin-tin potential $\underline{V_{\text{MT}}^{\alpha(2)}}(r_{\alpha} + \tau_{\alpha})$ from boundary-value problem using interstitial potential $V_{\text{IR}}^{\alpha(2)}(r)$ on MT boundary and derivative of real density $\nabla \nabla^{\top} \rho$







2nd-order external potential

- Goal: Solve Poisson equation $\Delta(\boldsymbol{\nabla}\boldsymbol{\nabla}^\top V_{\text{ext}}) \propto \boldsymbol{\nabla}\boldsymbol{\nabla}^\top \rho$
- Analogous multipole moments

$$\underline{q_{lm}^{\alpha}} = \int_{\mathsf{MT}_{\alpha}} \mathrm{d}^3 r \, \mathbf{Y}^*_{\mathrm{lm}}(\hat{\boldsymbol{r}}) \big| \boldsymbol{r}^l - \boldsymbol{\tau}_{\alpha} \big|^l \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{\nabla}^\top \boldsymbol{\rho}(\boldsymbol{r})$$

⇒ Correct interstitial potential from pseudo-charge density

$$\underline{V^{\alpha(2)}_{\mathsf{IR}}}(\pmb{r}) = \sum_{\pmb{G}\neq \pmb{0}} \frac{4\pi}{G^2} \underline{\rho_{\mathsf{ps}}}(\pmb{G}) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\pmb{G}\cdot\pmb{r}}$$



- Muffin-tin potential $\underline{V_{MT}^{\alpha(2)}}(\mathbf{r}_{\alpha} + \boldsymbol{\tau}_{\alpha})$ from boundary-value problem using interstitial potential $\underline{V_{IR}^{\alpha(2)}}(\mathbf{r})$ on MT boundary and derivative of real density $\nabla \nabla^{\top} \rho$
- \Rightarrow External potential variations do not require self-consistent density variations







SECOND-ORDER ION-ION INTERACTION

Weinert Again

 Ion-ion interaction similar form to the electron-ion interaction

$$\begin{split} E_{\text{tot}}^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{q}) &= \int \! \mathrm{d}^3 r \, \rho^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{q}) V_{\text{ext}}^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{q}) \\ &+ \int \! \mathrm{d}^3 r \, \rho^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{r}) V_{\text{ext}}^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{q}) + E_{\text{ii}}^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{q}) \end{split}$$

 \Rightarrow Suggesting, e.g., Weinert method









SECOND-ORDER ION-ION INTERACTION

Weinert Again

 Ion—ion interaction similar form to the electron—ion interaction

$$\begin{split} E_{\rm tot}^{(2)}(\mathbf{q}) &= \int\!\!\mathrm{d}^3 r\,\rho^{(1)}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{q}) V_{\rm ext}^{(1)}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{q}) \\ &+ \int\!\!\mathrm{d}^3 r\,\rho^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}) V_{\rm ext}^{(2)}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{q}) + E_{\rm ii}^{(2)}(\mathbf{q}) \end{split}$$

 \Rightarrow Suggesting, e.g., Weinert method



Second-order ion–ion interaction can be rewritten to ($\xi(q) = 1$ or 2)

$$E_{\mathrm{ii}}^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{q}) = \sum_{\alpha\beta} \xi(\boldsymbol{q}) \boldsymbol{Q}_{\beta}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{q}) \sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{R}\neq\boldsymbol{0},\\ \mathrm{if}\;\alpha=\beta}} \left(1 - \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\boldsymbol{q}\cdot\boldsymbol{R}}\right) \nabla_{\alpha} \nabla_{\beta}^{\top} \left|\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\alpha} - \boldsymbol{\tau}_{\beta} - \boldsymbol{R}\right|^{-1} \boldsymbol{Q}_{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{q}) + \mathrm{c.c.}$$

• Weinert method \rightarrow interstitial and muffin-tin representation of matrix expression







Beyond Hellmann-Feynman: Total Energy

- Calculation of Dynamical Matrix requires 2nd-order variation of total energy
- So far we discussed the basic Hellmann–Feynman contribution

$$\begin{split} E_{\text{tot}}^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{q}) &= \int \! \mathrm{d}^3 r \, \rho^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{q}) V_{\text{ext}}^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{q}) + \int \! \mathrm{d}^3 r \, \rho^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{r}) V_{\text{ext}}^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{q}) + E_{\text{ii}}^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{q}) \\ &= \boldsymbol{Q}_{\beta}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{q}) \underline{D}_{\beta\alpha}(\boldsymbol{q}) \boldsymbol{Q}_{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{q}) + \text{c.c.} \end{split}$$







Beyond Hellmann-Feynman: Total Energy

- Calculation of Dynamical Matrix requires 2nd-order variation of total energy
- So far we discussed the basic Hellmann–Feynman contribution

$$\begin{split} E_{\mathsf{tot}}^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{q}) &= \int \! \mathrm{d}^3 r \, \rho^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{q}) V_{\mathsf{ext}}^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{q}) + \int \! \mathrm{d}^3 r \, \rho^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{r}) V_{\mathsf{ext}}^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{q}) + E_{\mathsf{ii}}^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{q}) \\ &= \boldsymbol{Q}_{\beta}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{q}) \underline{D}_{\beta\alpha}(\boldsymbol{q}) \boldsymbol{Q}_{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{q}) + \mathsf{c.c.} \end{split}$$

 \blacksquare LAPW basis \rightarrow terms beyond basic naive contribution

$$E_{\rm tot}^{(2)} = E_{\rm tot,\;basic}^{(2)} + E_{\rm tot,\;Pulay}^{(2)} + E_{\rm tot,\;surface}^{(2)}$$

- $E_{\text{tot, basic}}^{(2)}$: Naive contribution
- E⁽²⁾_{tot, Pulay}: Corrects deviations of the variational wave functions represented in the finite LAPW basis from the exact pointwise solutions of the Schrödinger equation
- E⁽²⁾_{tot, surface}: Corrects small discontinuities at the muffin-tin sphere boundary, caused by displacive perturbations







VALENCE PULAY CONTRIBUTIONS

Dynamical Matrix & All-Electron FLAPW

Pulay terms also occur in second variation of total energy

$$\begin{split} E_{\mathsf{Pulay}}^{(2),\mathsf{tot}} = & \int \mathrm{d}^3 r \, \rho^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{r}) V_{\mathsf{eff}}^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{r}) + \sum_{n\boldsymbol{k}} f_{n\boldsymbol{k}}^{(0)} \Big[2 \Big\langle \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\boldsymbol{nk}}^{(1)} \Big| \mathcal{H}_0 - \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\boldsymbol{nk}}^{(0)} \Big| \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\boldsymbol{nk}}^{(1)} \Big\rangle \\ & + \Big\langle \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\boldsymbol{nk}}^{(0)} \Big| \mathcal{H}_0 - \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\boldsymbol{nk}}^{(0)} \Big| \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\boldsymbol{nk}}^{(2)} \Big\rangle + \Big\langle \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\boldsymbol{nk}}^{(2)} \Big| \mathcal{H}_0 - \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\boldsymbol{nk}}^{(0)} \Big| \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{\boldsymbol{nk}}^{(0)} \Big\rangle \Big] \end{split}$$

Phonon with wavevector q shifts Bloch character of varied wavefunctions

$$\begin{split} \Psi_{n\mathbf{k}}^{(1)} &= \sum_{\mathbf{\kappa}=\pm \mathbf{q}} \sum_{\mathbf{G}} \left[z_{\mathbf{G}}^{(1)}(n\mathbf{k};\mathbf{\kappa}) \phi_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{\kappa},\mathbf{G}}^{(0)} + z_{\mathbf{G}}^{(0)}(n\mathbf{k};\mathbf{\kappa}) \phi_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{\kappa},\mathbf{G}}^{(1)} \right] \\ \Psi_{n\mathbf{k}}^{(2)} &= \sum_{\mathbf{\kappa}=\mathbf{0},\pm 2\mathbf{q}} \sum_{\mathbf{G}} \left[z_{\mathbf{G}}^{(2)}(n\mathbf{k};\mathbf{\kappa}) \phi_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{\kappa},\mathbf{G}}^{(0)} + z_{\mathbf{G}}^{(1)}(n\mathbf{k};\mathbf{\kappa}) \phi_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{\kappa},\mathbf{G}}^{(1)} + z_{\mathbf{G}}^{(0)}(n\mathbf{k};\mathbf{\kappa}) \phi_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{\kappa},\mathbf{G}}^{(2)} \right] \end{split}$$







VALENCE PULAY CONTRIBUTIONS

Dynamical Matrix & All-Electron FLAPW

Pulay terms also occur in second variation of total energy

$$\begin{split} E_{\mathsf{Pulay}}^{(2),\mathsf{tot}} = & \int \mathrm{d}^3 r \, \rho^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{r}) V_{\mathsf{eff}}^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{r}) + \sum_{n\boldsymbol{k}} f_{n\boldsymbol{k}}^{(0)} \Big[2 \Big\langle \Psi_{n\boldsymbol{k}}^{(1)} \Big| \mathcal{H}_0 - \epsilon_{n\boldsymbol{k}}^{(0)} \Big| \Psi_{n\boldsymbol{k}}^{(1)} \Big\rangle \\ & + \Big\langle \Psi_{n\boldsymbol{k}}^{(0)} \Big| \mathcal{H}_0 - \epsilon_{n\boldsymbol{k}}^{(0)} \Big| \Psi_{n\boldsymbol{k}}^{(2)} \Big\rangle + \Big\langle \Psi_{n\boldsymbol{k}}^{(2)} \Big| \mathcal{H}_0 - \epsilon_{n\boldsymbol{k}}^{(0)} \Big| \Psi_{n\boldsymbol{k}}^{(0)} \Big\rangle \Big] \end{split}$$

Phonon with wavevector q shifts Bloch character of varied wavefunctions

$$\begin{split} \Psi_{n\mathbf{k}}^{(1)} &= \sum_{\mathbf{\kappa}=\pm \mathbf{q}} \sum_{\mathbf{G}} \left[z_{\mathbf{G}}^{(1)}(n\mathbf{k};\mathbf{\kappa}) \phi_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{\kappa},\mathbf{G}}^{(0)} + z_{\mathbf{G}}^{(0)}(n\mathbf{k};\mathbf{\kappa}) \phi_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{\kappa},\mathbf{G}}^{(1)} \right] \\ \Psi_{n\mathbf{k}}^{(2)} &= \sum_{\mathbf{\kappa}=\mathbf{0},\pm 2\mathbf{q}} \sum_{\mathbf{G}} \left[z_{\mathbf{G}}^{(2)}(n\mathbf{k};\mathbf{\kappa}) \phi_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{\kappa},\mathbf{G}}^{(0)} + z_{\mathbf{G}}^{(1)}(n\mathbf{k};\mathbf{\kappa}) \phi_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{\kappa},\mathbf{G}}^{(1)} + z_{\mathbf{G}}^{(0)}(n\mathbf{k};\mathbf{\kappa}) \phi_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{\kappa},\mathbf{G}}^{(2)} \right] \end{split}$$







CORE PULAY AND SURFACE CONTRIBUTIONS

Dynamical Matrix & All-Electron FLAPW

 \blacksquare All-Electron \rightarrow core-electron terms variation

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{n} \Bigl[2 \Bigl\langle \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{n}^{(1)} \Bigl| \boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}_{0} - \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{n}^{(0)} \Bigl| \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{n}^{(1)} \Bigr\rangle + \Bigl\langle \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{n}^{(0)} \Bigr| \boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}_{0} - \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{n}^{(0)} \Bigr| \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{n}^{(2)} \Bigr\rangle + \Bigl\langle \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{n}^{(2)} \Bigr| \boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}_{0} - \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{n}^{(0)} \Bigr| \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{n}^{(0)} \Bigr\rangle \Bigr] \\ &= - \boldsymbol{Q}_{\alpha}^{\dagger} \int_{\Omega} \mathrm{d}^{3} \boldsymbol{r} \, \nabla V_{\mathsf{nsph}}^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{r}) \sum_{n} \nabla^{\top} \boldsymbol{\rho}_{n}^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{r}) \boldsymbol{Q}_{\alpha} + \mathsf{c.c.} \end{split}$$







CORE PULAY AND SURFACE CONTRIBUTIONS

Dynamical Matrix & All-Electron FLAPW

 \blacksquare All-Electron \rightarrow core-electron terms variation

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{n} \left[2 \Big\langle \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{n}^{(1)} \Big| \boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}_{0} - \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{n}^{(0)} \Big| \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{n}^{(1)} \Big\rangle + \Big\langle \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{n}^{(0)} \Big| \boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}_{0} - \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{n}^{(0)} \Big| \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{n}^{(2)} \Big\rangle + \Big\langle \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{n}^{(2)} \Big| \boldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}_{0} - \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{n}^{(0)} \Big| \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{n}^{(0)} \Big\rangle \right] \\ &= - \boldsymbol{Q}_{\alpha}^{\dagger} \int_{\Omega} \mathrm{d}^{3} \boldsymbol{r} \, \nabla V_{\mathsf{nsph}}^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{r}) \sum_{n} \nabla^{\top} \boldsymbol{\rho}_{n}^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{r}) \boldsymbol{Q}_{\alpha} + \mathsf{c.c.} \end{split}$$

- Total energy contains discontinuities at muffin-tin surfaces
 - \Rightarrow Variation generates surface terms

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\alpha}}f(\boldsymbol{r}) = \left[\sum_{\beta}\int_{\mathsf{IR}(\beta)}\!\mathrm{d}^{3}r\,\frac{\mathrm{d}f(\boldsymbol{r})}{\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\alpha}} + \int_{\mathsf{MT}(\beta)}\!\mathrm{d}^{3}r\,\frac{\mathrm{d}f(\boldsymbol{r})}{\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\tau}_{\alpha}}\right] + \oint_{\partial\mathsf{MT}(\alpha)}\!\mathrm{d}S\left[f_{\mathsf{MT}}(\boldsymbol{r}) - f_{\mathsf{IR}}(\boldsymbol{r})\right]\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{\boldsymbol{r}}$$







SUMMARY

Forces & DFPT

Forces

- Derivative of DFT total energy
- FLAPW method leads to additional terms (Core, Pulay, Surface)
- Forces for geometry optimization
- Dynamical matrix (force-constant matrix) for phonons but inefficient

DFPT

- Powerful tool for ab-initio calculation of response functions
- Dynamical matrix relates to second-order variation of total energy
- Second-order variation of total energy contains response functions accessable by DFPT
- FLAPW method makes DFPT more challenging







Thank you for your attention!





