

Beyond LDA and GGA: Hybrid Functionals

Muffin-tin Recipes, Jülich 2011 | Martin Schlipf Peter Grünberg Institut Forschungszentrum Jülich and JARA



Motivation



BaTiO₃

- oxide materials
- band transition < experiment
- pseudo Jan-Teller effect
- ferroelectric distortion



Self-interaction error

$$\underbrace{\left\langle \phi_{1} \phi_{2} \left| \hat{V}_{\text{coul}} \right| \phi_{1} \phi_{2} \right\rangle}_{\text{Coulomb}} - \underbrace{\left\langle \phi_{1} \phi_{2} \left| \hat{V}_{\text{coul}} \right| \phi_{2} \phi_{1} \right\rangle}_{\text{Exchange}}$$

- self-interaction $\phi_1 = \phi_2$
- terms cancel in HF theory
- LDA or GGA \Rightarrow finite error $\iint d^3 r d^3 r' \frac{n_1(r) n_1(r')}{|r - r'|}$





Overview

Introduction: Hybrid Functionals

Adiabatic connection formula Development of hybrid functionals The PBE0 and the HSE functional

Implementation in FLAPW

How to use Hybrid Functionals in fleur



Adiabatic connection formula

Parameter λ describes strength of interaction

$$\mathcal{H} = T + \lambda V_{ee} + V(\lambda)$$

energy derivative – eigenstates depend on λ

$$\frac{\mathsf{d}\boldsymbol{E}(\lambda)}{\mathsf{d}\lambda} = \left\langle \varphi(\lambda) \left| \boldsymbol{V}_{\mathsf{ee}} + \frac{\mathsf{d}\boldsymbol{V}(\lambda)}{\mathsf{d}\lambda} \right| \varphi(\lambda) \right\rangle$$

energy of full interacting system

$$egin{aligned} E(\lambda=1)-E(\lambda=0)&=\int_{0}^{1}\mathrm{d}\lambda\left\langle arphi(\lambda)\left|V_{\mathsf{ee}}
ight|arphi(\lambda)
ight
angle \ &-\int\mathrm{d}^{3}r\,nV(\lambda=0) \end{aligned}$$

Muffin-tin Recipes

Martin Schlipf



Exchange correlation energy

density functional theory \Rightarrow functional design

$$E_{\rm xc} = \int_0^1 d\lambda \langle \varphi(\lambda) | V_{\rm ee} | \varphi(\lambda) \rangle - U_{\rm H}(n) = \int_0^1 d\lambda \, E_{\rm xc}(\lambda)$$



Martin Schlipf



Brief review



- for the non-interacting system only exchange
- Hartree-Fock is exact solution for λ = 0
- observation in simple materials: DFT improves for large λ



Will it blend? Becke 1993, JCP 98, p.1372 and p.5648





Constructing a simpler hybrid functional Becke 1996, JCP 104, p.1040

$$E_{xc}^{hyb.} = E_{xc}^{LDA} + a_0(E_x^{HF} - E_x^{LDA}) + a_x(E_x^{GGA} - E_x^{LDA}) + a_c(E_c^{GGA} - E_c^{LDA})$$

- empirical values: a₀ = 0.20, a_x = 0.72, a_c = 0.81
- simplification: $a_x = 1 a_0$, $a_c = 1$

$$E_{\mathrm{xc}}^{\mathrm{hyb.}} = E_{\mathrm{xc}}^{\mathrm{GGA}} + a_0 (E_{\mathrm{x}}^{\mathrm{HF}} - E_{\mathrm{x}}^{\mathrm{GGA}})$$

• only one parameter $a_0 = 0.16$ or 0.28



The PBE0 functional

Perdew, Burke, Ernzerhof, 1996, JCP 105, p.9982



comparison with Møller-Plesset perturbation theory

Muffin-tin Recipes

Martin Schlipf



Discussion of the PBE0 functional

- computational expensive long-ranged interaction
- bandgaps improved, but typically too large
- correlation effects screen long-range interaction
- long-range is small, expensive, inconsistent



The HSE functional

concept of a faster hybrid functional

- idea: long-range correlation cancel exchange ⇒ only short-range HF
- separation of interaction \Rightarrow parameter ω

$$\frac{1}{r} = \underbrace{\frac{\operatorname{erfc}\,\omega r}{r}}_{\operatorname{SR}} + \underbrace{\frac{\operatorname{erf}\,\omega r}{r}}_{\operatorname{LR}}$$

- treat only short-range part with non-local functional
- $\omega = 0.11$ optimized to experimental data set (HSE06)



The local part

short-range PBE exchange?

$$\textit{E}_{x}^{\text{PBE,SR}} = \int d^{3} r \, n \, \epsilon_{x}^{\text{LDA}} \textit{F}_{x}^{\text{PBE,SR}}$$

integration over exchange hole J

$$F_{\rm x}^{\rm PBE, SR} \propto \int {\rm d}r \, r \, J^{\rm PBE}(r) \, {
m erfc}(\omega r)$$

additional term which reduces exchange



Summary: PBE0 and HSE06

$$m{\textit{E}}_{ ext{xc}} = m{\textit{E}}_{ ext{xc}}^{ ext{PBE}} + m{a}_0 \left(m{\textit{E}}_{ ext{x}}^{ ext{NL}} - m{\textit{E}}_{ ext{x}}^{ ext{loc}}
ight)$$

non local energy is given as

$$\boldsymbol{E}_{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathsf{NL}} = \sum_{n,n'}^{\mathsf{occ.}} \left\langle \varphi_{n'} \varphi_n \left| \boldsymbol{V}^{\mathsf{NL}} \right| \varphi_n \varphi_{n'} \right\rangle$$

PBE0 functionalHSE functional
$$V^{NL}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = \frac{1}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|}$$
 $V^{NL}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = \frac{\operatorname{erfc}(\omega |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|)}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|}$



A generalized Kohn-Sham scheme

recollection: Kohn-Sham Scheme

$$\sum_{\mathbf{G}'} \left\langle \chi_{\mathbf{G}} \left| \mathcal{H} \right| \chi_{\mathbf{G}'} \right\rangle \left\langle \chi_{\mathbf{G}'} \right| \varphi_{\mathbf{n}} \right\rangle = \varepsilon_{\mathbf{n}} \left\langle \chi_{\mathbf{G}} \right| \varphi_{\mathbf{n}} \right\rangle$$

$$E_{\mathbf{x}}^{\mathsf{NL}} = \sum_{n,n'}^{\mathsf{occ.}} \left\langle \varphi_{n'} \varphi_n \left| V^{\mathsf{NL}} \right| \varphi_n \varphi_{n'} \right\rangle$$

Resolution of the identity

$$\sum_{\mathbf{G}'} \underbrace{\sum_{n}^{\text{occ.}} \left\langle \chi_{\mathbf{G}} \varphi_n \left| \mathbf{V}^{\text{NL}} \right| \varphi_n \chi_{\mathbf{G}'} \right\rangle}_{\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{G}\mathbf{G}'}^{\text{NL}}} \left\langle \chi_{\mathbf{G}'} \right| \varphi_{n'} \right\rangle$$



Overview

Introduction: Hybrid Functionals

Implementation in FLAPW General concepts Details of implementation

How to use Hybrid Functionals in fleur

Betzinger et al., PRB 81 (2010), 195117



Computational complexity

$$V_{\boldsymbol{G}\boldsymbol{G}'}^{\mathsf{NL}}(\boldsymbol{k}) = \sum_{n}^{\mathsf{occ.}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{q}}^{\mathsf{BZ}} \left\langle \chi_{\boldsymbol{k}\boldsymbol{G}}\varphi_{n\boldsymbol{q}} \left| \boldsymbol{V}^{\mathsf{NL}} \right| \varphi_{n\boldsymbol{q}}\chi_{\boldsymbol{k}\boldsymbol{G}'} \right\rangle$$

- six-dimensional integral
- #k-points² × #basis functions² × #occ. states
- comparison (local DFT): #k-points × #basis functions²
- typically: 1 2 order of magnitude slower



Using symmetry to enhance execution speed

$$V_{\boldsymbol{G}\boldsymbol{G}'}^{\mathsf{NL}}(\boldsymbol{k}) = \sum_{n}^{\mathsf{occ.}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{q}}^{\mathsf{BZ}} \left\langle \chi_{\boldsymbol{k}\boldsymbol{G}}\varphi_{n\boldsymbol{q}} \left| \boldsymbol{V}^{\mathsf{NL}} \right| \varphi_{n\boldsymbol{q}}\chi_{\boldsymbol{k}\boldsymbol{G}'} \right\rangle$$

- Outer k-point loop: Full symmetry of crystal
- Inner q-point loop: Reduced symmetry of current k-point
- Every k-point different execution time
- without spin-orbit coupling ⇒ time reversal symmetry



Basis functions → wavefunctions

$$V_{\boldsymbol{G}\boldsymbol{G}'} = \sum_{n}^{\text{occ.}} \left\langle \chi_{\boldsymbol{G}} \varphi_n \left| \boldsymbol{V}^{\text{NL}} \right| \varphi_n \chi_{\boldsymbol{G}'} \right\rangle$$

wavefunction: eigenvector \times basis function

$$|\varphi_n\rangle = \sum_{\boldsymbol{G}} Z_{n\boldsymbol{G}} |\chi_{\boldsymbol{G}}\rangle \qquad |\chi_{\boldsymbol{G}}\rangle = \sum_{n}^{\text{bands}} [Z_{n\boldsymbol{G}}]^{-1} |\varphi_n\rangle$$

bands: important cutoff parameter in hybrid functionals

$$V_{nn'} = \sum_{m}^{\text{occ.}} \left\langle \varphi_{n} \varphi_{m} \left| V^{\text{NL}} \right| \varphi_{m} \varphi_{n'} \right\rangle$$



Auxiliary product basis

$$V_{nn'} = \sum_{m}^{\text{occ.}} \left\langle \varphi_{n} \varphi_{m} \left| V^{\text{NL}} \right| \varphi_{m} \varphi_{n'} \right\rangle$$

idea: introduce an auxiliary basis 1 = $\sum_{I} |M_{I}\rangle \langle M_{I}|$

$$V_{nn'} = \sum_{IJ} \sum_{m}^{\text{occ.}} \langle \varphi_n | \varphi_m M_I \rangle \left\langle M_I \left| V^{\text{NL}} \right| M_J \right\rangle \left\langle M_J \varphi_m | \varphi_{n'} \right\rangle$$

Advantages:

- matrix evaluated once
- only overlap integrals in every SCF



Mixed product basis which auxiliary basis in FLAPW?

- keep accuracy of FLAPW function
- easy construction from FLAPW basis

$$M_{l}(\boldsymbol{r}) = \begin{cases} M_{l}(|\boldsymbol{r} - \boldsymbol{R}_{a}|) \, Y_{LM}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{r} - \boldsymbol{R}_{a}}) \, e^{i\boldsymbol{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{R}_{a}} & \text{muffin-tin} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Omega}} \, e^{i(\boldsymbol{k} + \boldsymbol{G})\boldsymbol{r}} \, \Theta(\boldsymbol{r} \in \mathsf{IR}) & \text{interstitial} \end{cases}$$

• muffin-tin: $I \rightarrow (a, L, M)$

interstitial: $I \rightarrow G$

■ ⇒ mixed product basis



Cutoffs for mixed product basis

$$M_{l}(\mathbf{r}) = \begin{cases} M_{l}(|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_{a}|) \, Y_{LM}(\widehat{\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_{a}}) \, e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{R}_{a}} & \text{muffin-tin} \\ \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Omega}} \, e^{i(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{G})\mathbf{r}} \, \Theta(\mathbf{r} \in \mathsf{IR}) & \text{interstitial} \end{cases}$$

- lcutm: angular moment cutoff in muffin-tin default 4, increase if *f*-states are used!
- gcutm: cutoff for interstitial planewaves
 default kmax 0.5, decrease for first guess calculation



Construction of muffin-tin functions

addition theorem for spherical harmonics

$$R_{lm}(r) \operatorname{Y}_{lm}(\widehat{r}) R_{l'm'}(r) \operatorname{Y}_{l'm'}(\widehat{r}) = \sum_{L=|l-l'|}^{l+l'} \sum_{M=-L}^{L} \widehat{R}_{LM}(r) \operatorname{Y}_{LM}(\widehat{r})$$

- several functions for every LM
- linear combination $\widehat{R} \Rightarrow$ multipole-free M_l^{mpf}
- Coulomb matrix off-center elements vanish

$$\left\langle M_{I}^{\mathrm{mpf}} \left| V^{\mathrm{NL}} \right| M_{J} \right\rangle = 0 \qquad I \neq J$$

 \Rightarrow sparse-matrix technique!



Nested self-consistency

- non-local potential more expensive
- idea: converge in separate loops



activate nested self-consistency with $\texttt{imix} \geq 10$



Importance of the Γ point

Fourier transformation of Coulomb potential

$$\left\langle \mathbf{k} + \mathbf{G} \left| \mathbf{V}^{\mathsf{NL}} \right| \mathbf{k} + \mathbf{G}' \right\rangle = \frac{4\pi}{\left| \mathbf{k} + \mathbf{G} \right|^2} \delta(\mathbf{G} - \mathbf{G}')$$

- divergent for $\boldsymbol{k} + \boldsymbol{G} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{0}$
- 3d-integral has finite limit
- explicit treatment of Γ point necessary
- gamma=t to use Γ point for k-point mesh



HSE functional: challenges

$$v_{IJ} = \left\langle M_{I} \left| V^{\mathsf{NL}} \right| M_{J} \right\rangle$$

Naïve idea: replace non-local potential



- sparse-matrix technique:
 multipole free ↔ Coulomb potential
- without sparse-matrix ⇒ significantly slower



HSE via Fourier transformation



HSE = PBE0 – difference evaluated in reciprocal space

Martin Schlipf



Convergence of Fourier transformation





Convergence HSE06 vs. PBE0 for bulk silicon



Martin Schlipf



Parallelization scheme

$$V_{nn'}(\mathbf{k}) = \sum_{\mathbf{q}}^{\mathsf{BZ}} \sum_{m}^{\mathsf{occ.}} \left\langle \varphi_{n\mathbf{k}} \varphi_{m\mathbf{q}} \left| V^{\mathsf{NL}} \right| \varphi_{m\mathbf{q}} \varphi_{n'\mathbf{k}} \right\rangle$$

- possible parallelization: k, q, m, ...
- every k-point needs different amount of time
- \Rightarrow parellelize over tasks = $\#k \times \#q(k)$
- not all k-points done by same amount of processes
 HF: kpt 3 was done by rank 1 and 1 more



Overview

Introduction: Hybrid Functionals

Implementation in FLAPW

How to use Hybrid Functionals in fleur



Generate input file for hybrid functionals

- specify & input hybrid = t /
- additional inp_hyb file generated
- use inp for PBE calculation
- use inp_hyb for PBE0 or HSE calculation



New input file

07|hse non-relativi 08|gcutm= 3.10000,...,bands= 64 13| 2,force =F,lcutm= 4,...,nlo= 0,llo= 18|vchk=F,cdinf=F,pot8=T,gw=0,numbands= 70 28|itmax= 15,maxiter= 25,imix=17,... 37|nkpt= 64,nx= 4,ny= 4,nz= 4,gamma=T

- hse or pbe0 hybrid functional
- bands cutoff for basis function representation
- numbands eigenvalues calculated in diagonalization
- itmax counts only outer cycles



Recipe: how to calculate with PBE0/HSE

- increase numbands sufficiently
- converge PBE calculation (copy all files to new folder)
- 3 rename inp_hyb to inp
- 4 set numbands to same value as in PBE
- 5 converge calculation with PBE0 or HSE



Files - generated by or for hybrid functionals

- mixbas mixed product basis (for restart)
- coulomb1 Coulomb matrix for all k-points
- cmt,z wavefunction coefficients in MT and IR
- olap overlap of LAPW basis
- potx local correction due to hybrid functional
- vr0 spherical MT potential



Conclusion

- adiabatic connection motivate hybrid functionals
- PBE0 and HSE: hybrid functionals for solids
- implementation in FLAPW: mixed product basis
- convergence of number of bands important



Outlook

- faster calculation by k-point interpolation
- more efficient parallelization scheme
- merge hybrid functionals with other features
- screening derived from electronic density
- correlation in random phase approximation